Tracking speaker-specific speech rate: Habitual vs. local influences on **English stop voicing** Connie Tina^{1,2} & Yooniuna Kana³ ¹McGill University, ²Centre for Research on Brain, Language and Music, ³University of Toronto 1. Background 2. Current Study Speech rate is a source of variation that creates differences in the realization of The current study: durational acoustic cues Aims to replicate previous studies using VOT (/bi/-/pi/ continuum) with o In fast speech, overall duration is shorter modifications: 1. increasing the rate difference o In slow speech, overall duration is longer Therefore, an ambiguous phonetic segment is long relative to surrounding fast 2. using multiple word pairs speech, but short relative to surrounding slow speech big-pig bye-pie dime-time doe-toe Local speech rate effects in perception have been observed for: 3. including two identification tasks: Isolated stop-initial syllables manipulated along a VOT continuum Temporal Cue Fast speech Slow speech The same syllables embedded in a fast or slow carrier sentence Vowel Duration More /a:/ Less /a:/ 3. Methods Stop VOT More /p/ Less /p/ Reinisch (2016) tested speaker-specific effects of speech rate on listeners' vowel Participants length perception in German 80 self-reported native American English speakers recruited o Listeners heard a 2-minute dialogue between two female German speakers, through Amazon Mechanical Turk varying in: Rate: Stimuli slow = 10% slower than mean $\circ~$ 2 male speakers recorded both roles of a 460-word dialogue between 2 speakers fast = 15% faster than mean 0.6 Rate manipulation (relative to average within pairs): Order (first vs. second) Fast = 20% shorter 0.4 Listeners categorized words of minimal Slow = 20% longer 2 Pair continua differing in /a/-/a:/ contrast Identification task VOT manipulation: /b/-/p/, /t/-/d/ continua Results showed that listeners are able to track 4 5 6 7 10-70 ms in 7 equal steps speaker-specific rate information to facilitate Constant vowel duration = average within pairs vowel length perception in German A study aimed to replicate Reinisch(2016)'s findings with English listeners' • Task 1: 112 words in isolation (= 7 VOT steps * 4 word pairs * 2 speakers * 2 reps) perception of a consonantal contrast (Ting & Kang, 2023) • Task 2: 112 sentences (= 7 VOT * 4 word pairs * 2 speakers * 2 sentence rates) Results showed no speech rate effect for consonant stop voicing when the target segment was not present in the dialogue Procedure Gap in previous work: $\circ\;$ Each listener heard one of four versions of the dialogue (each version was heard by Reinisch (2016) did not test whether the speech rate effect exists when listeners 20 participants) are not exposed to target stimuli in the dialogue o After the dialogue, listeners categorized: Ting & Kang (2023) did not test the effect in more local contexts and included only Isolated /bye-pie/ syllables spoken by both speakers within the pair and indicated a single word pair, which could contribute to a less natural task what they heard by button click The same syllables embedded in a fast or slow carrier sentence 4. Results Analysis **(**) o A logistic mixed-effects model was run for each experimental condition (words in (ا isolation. sentential context): Dependent variable: voiceless vs. voiced responses Fixed effects: Random effects: VOT Subiect Speech Rate Speaker 5. Conclusions Results speaker-specific speech rate in this experiment affected listeners' perception of the ID TASK 1 - WORDS IN ISOLATION ID TASK 2 - WORDS IN CARRIER SENTENCE **English voicing contrast** rate normalization in listeners' perception of consonantal contrasts can occur in both local (sentential) and habitual (dialogue) contexts The presence of the rate effect with a more exaggerated rate difference (20% in this rate rate study compared to 15% in the previous replication with English stop voicing) indicates a more exaggerated rate difference is required to observe a speech rate effect given a dialogue context Taken together with the results of Reinisch (2016), these findings suggests speech rate normalization differs across different durational contrasts VOT (ms) 40 VOT (ms) It remains unclear whether speaker-specific rate effects can be found for a vowel + Mixed-effects logistic regression model revealed a significant effect of speech length contrast using a dialogue which omits all instances of the target stimuli rate for both tasks Results of ID Task 1 suggest listeners did make use of speaker-specific speech Acknowledgements rate information in VOT perception This research was supported by the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of + Results of ID Task 2 suggest speech rate effect is also observed in a local speech Canada (#435-2020- 0209) and the Centre for Research on Brain, Language and Music. rate context





SSHRC≡CRSH

